THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION No.26861 of 1997

DATED: 24.04.2007

Between:

V. Maruthi. .. Petitioner.

And

The Executive Engineer,
Ranga Reddy District
Schedule Caste Services
Cooperative Society Ltd.,
Ravindranagar,
Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

.. Respondent.

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION No.26861 of 1997

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records relating to the proceedings No.254/A1/Estt/SC/RR/97, dated 13.10.1997, on the file of Executive Engineer, Scheduled Caste Services Cooperative Society Limited (for short, 'S.C.S.C.S.Limited') and quash the same as arbitrary and illegal.

2. It appears, the petitioner, who was working as a Draughtsman Grade-II in the office of Executive Engineer, S.C.S.C.S.Limited-1st respondent, had been promoted to the post of Draughtsman Grade-I vide proceedings dated 04.07.1997 and pursuant to the said proceedings, he joined as Draftsman Grade-I on 05.07.1997. Subsequently, on a request made by the 2nd respondent for his transfer, the impugned transfer proceedings were issued, which clearly shows that the 2nd respondent, who was working as Draughtsman Grade-II, was posted to the office of Executive Engineer (SW), Ranga Reddy District wherein the petitioner was working as Draughtsman Grade-I, and the petitioner, who was working as Draughtsman Grade-I, was posted to Vikarabad, wherein the 2nd respondent was working as Draughtsman Grade-II. Therefore, the impugned proceedings are nothing but an order reverting the petitioner from the post of Draughtsman Grade-I to Draughtsman Grade-II. It is the case of the

petitioner that the Government issued G.O.Ms.No.63 Finance & Planning, dated 05.05.1997, wherein transfers are banned with effect from 10.06.1997 onwards, but in spite of that, the 1st respondent issued impugned proceedings, which is contrary to the ban orders issued by the Government. As against the impugned proceedings, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

(3) Heard both the counsel.

(4) Under the impugned proceedings, dated 13.10.1997, the petitioner is sought to be transferred from the office of Executive Engineer (SW), Ranga Reddy District to Vikarabad. There is no necessity of going into all the details. While admitting the Writ Petition, interim orders were passed on 20.10.1997 i.e., about ten years ago, suspending the impugned transfer proceedings. Now, I find no useful purpose could be served by adjudicating as to the legality or illegality of the impugned transfer proceedings.

(5) For the aforesaid reasons, I find no further orders are necessary in this Writ Petition. However, this will not prevent the respondents from taking up fresh proceedings as to the transfer of the petitioner.

(6) Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No costs.

_____

(C.V.RAMULU,J)

24.04.2007
v v